Internal Grant Review Criteria

Applicant: _____ **Applicant #:**_____

☐ **Application Cover Sheet with required signatures**
Notes: _____

☐ **Application Checklist**

☐ **Meets Formatting Criteria** (e.g. font size, margins)

☐ **Abstract (Maximum length: 1 page)**
___ Meets length criteria (excess pages to be removed prior to Grant Review)
___ Identifies the coalition, community's substance abuse problem, and target population
___ Describes the current prevention system and the proposed plan for developing, strengthening, and sustaining the prevention system
___ Describes the proposed community substance abuse prevention plan

☐ **Coalition Description (Maximum length: 2 pages)**
___ Page length meets criteria (excess pages to be removed prior to Grant Review)

☐ **Prevention System Plan (Maximum length: 10 pages)**
___ Page length meets criteria (excess pages to be removed prior to Grant Review)

☐ **Community Substance Abuse Prevention Plan (Maximum length: 15 pages)**
___ Page length meets criteria (excess pages to be removed prior to Grant Review)

☐ **Management/Staffing Plan (Maximum length: 2 pages)**
___ Page length meets criteria (excess pages to be removed prior to Grant Review)
___ Staff resumes included and meet length criteria (excess pages to be removed prior to Grant Review)

☐ **Budget & Budget Justification**
___ Properly formatted Project Budget Summary included
___ Properly Formatted Detailed Project Budget included
____ All line items for expenditures are filled out clearly
____ Totals are correct
____ Minimum of 10% allocated to evaluation
____ If subcontractor included, subcontractor is named and listed separately
____ If indirect cost rate is budgeted, negotiated indirect cost agreement is supplied
____ No capitol construction costs are included
____ Funds are budgeted for quarterly regional TA and annual conference
___ Budget Justification is included
____ Documents at least 50 % of funding allocated to implementation of science-based strategies
____ Documents remaining funding (50% or less) allocated to "promising" strategies
____ Documents that no funds are being used to supplant existing funds or existing activities
____ Provides supportive description or documentation for each line item

2004 NePiP SICA Grant Review Criteria

☐ **Statements of Commitment**

Include the following information:

___ Name, address, telephone number of committing agency/organization/individual

___ Which sector the agency/organization/individual represents: public health; behavioral health; law enforcement; education; other (specify)

___ The agency/organization/individual's previous involvement in the coalition

___ The agency/organization/individual's previous involvement in substance abuse prevention

___ The nature of the commitment and resources to be provided by the agency/organization/individual as a participating member of the coalition

Notes:_____

_____

_____

_____

☐ **Attachments**

___ Logic Models included as attachments

    ___ Prevention System Logic Model attached

    ___ Community Substance Abuse Prevention Planning Logic Model attached

___ For applications for interventions on Tribal Lands:

    ___ Tribal Resolution attached, or

    ___ Applicant is Tribal Council

___Any other attachments removed

☐ **Certifications**

___ Certification regarding lobbying

___ Certification regarding environmental tobacco smoke

SCIENCE REVIEW CRITERIA

APPLICANT: _____ **APPLICANT #:**_____

STRATEGY _____

1. Is the strategy selected from NePiP's approved list?
   _____ Yes
   _____ No: is there sufficient research/documentation to support this as promising?
     _____risk/protective factors targeted and how program addresses them
     _____number of sessions, length of each session, & objectives addressed
     _____implementation process (attendance, participant feedback, protocol)
     _____target population (who/why/how participants chosen)
     _____sufficient "n" to determine effectiveness
     _____logic model
     _____outcomes (decreased substance abuse among youth 12-17)
     _____replicable
     _____culturally and developmentally appropriate
     _____rigorous, comprehensive, formal evaluation

2. Is the strategy appropriate for
   _____   target population?   _____   risk/protective factors?
   _____   geography?   _____   outcomes?
   _____   goals objectives?

3. Have adaptations been made to the original program's structure, content, or delivery method?
   _____ No
   _____ Yes
     a) Why?
     b) Was the adaptation appropriate?
     c) Was the adaptation appropriately addressed in the evaluation section?

RECOMMENDATION:

GRANT PANEL REVIEWERS' CRITERIA

A. COALITION DESCRIPTION: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 20

MISSION STATEMENT: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 5 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Is there a clear mission statement that expresses the reason that members of the coalition have come together to conduct substance abuse prevention planning?   Yes_____   No_____

2. If multiple communities are represented, does the mission statement encompass the substance abuse prevention planning missions of all participating communities?   Yes_____   No_____

DESCRIPTION: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 5 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant describe the geographic area, the target population, and the number of persons to be served?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant indicate when the coalition was established and provide a list of coalition members?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant clearly spell out the role of each member in the prevention system and the community substance abuse prevention assessment and planning processes?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Are there Statements of Commitment (Section IX of application) from key partnering coalition members, and do the Statements reflect the roles, responsibilities and commitments described in the narrative?   Yes_____   No_____

5. Does the applicant identify and describe current collaborative efforts with other agencies and/or community groups serving the identified target population?   Yes_____   No_____

6. Does the applicant detail how the proposed strategies will be coordinated with—and complement—substance abuse strategies currently provided by other organizations and agencies?   Yes_____   No_____

7. Does the applicant describe youth and community input into designing and/or implementing its proposed strategies?   Yes_____   No_____

ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 10 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant describe the coalition structure and leadership?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant identify a fiscal agent and describe its experience in receiving, expending and managing grant funds?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant demonstrate the capacity to adapt to changes and to successfully engage the entire community in the substance abuse prevention planning initiative?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant describe the methods it will use to ensure culturally competent and inclusive behaviors, attitudes and policies in order to successfully respond to the cultural (e.g. gender, environmental, ethnic and social) attributes of the target population?   Yes_____   No_____

Coalition Description Summary

Coalition Description Scores:
Mission: _____
Description: _____
Org. Capacity: _____

Major Strengths:

Major Weaknesses:

Additional Comments:

2004 NEPIP SICA GRANT REVIEW CRITERIA

B. PREVENTION SYSTEM PLAN: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 60

[Note: Refer to both the application narrative and the Prevention System Logic Model when answering the questions in this section]

ASSESSMENT: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 15 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant provide a detailed description of the local prevention system, including the geographic area it covers?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant address the *strengths* of the current prevention system within the core quality areas (i.e. leadership, capacity, and process) and the systems change areas? (see Prevention System Assessment tools included in RFA, Appendix B)   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant provide an objective analysis of *areas of development* within the core qualities and the systems change areas? (see Prevention System Assessment tools in RFA Appendix B)   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant provide an analysis of the contextual conditions and other factors that have an impact (positive and/or or negative) on the prevention system?   Yes_____   No_____

KEY DEVELOPMENT AREAS: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 15 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant describe priority issues for systems change and development for the core qualities (i.e. leadership, capacity and process), systems change areas, and indicators contained in the prevention system matrices? (See Appendix B of the RFA)   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the identification of the priority areas for systems change and development reflect the use of a "Gap Analysis" process to identify priority gaps that the coalition has the ability to address at this stage of its development? (see Appendix B of the RFA)   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant describe any underlying issues that either help to strengthen—and/or hinder—the growth

4

and development of the prevention system?   Yes_____   No_____

Gᴏᴀʟꜱ ᴀɴᴅ Oʙᴊᴇᴄᴛɪᴠᴇꜱ: Tᴏᴛᴀʟ Pᴏꜱꜱɪʙʟᴇ Pᴏɪɴᴛꜱ: 10 / Rᴇᴠɪᴇᴡ Sᴄᴏʀᴇ: _____

1. Does the applicant describe the long-term changes (i.e. goals) it seeks in its identified priority areas for systems change and development?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant describe the changes that will have to take place (i.e. objectives) in order to reach its identified goals?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Are the changes logically linked to the underlying issues (described in the previous section) that help to strengthen and/or hinder the growth and development of the prevention system?   Yes_____   No_____

Oᴜᴛᴄᴏᴍᴇꜱ: Tᴏᴛᴀʟ Pᴏꜱꜱɪʙʟᴇ Pᴏɪɴᴛꜱ: 10 / Rᴇᴠɪᴇᴡ Sᴄᴏʀᴇ: _____

1. Does the applicant describe measurable outcomes that will indicate that systems change and development has occurred?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Are the outcomes achievable in a finite period of time?   Yes_____   No_____

Sᴛʀᴀᴛᴇɢɪᴇꜱ ᴀɴᴅ Aᴄᴛɪᴠɪᴛɪᴇꜱ: Tᴏᴛᴀʟ Pᴏꜱꜱɪʙʟᴇ Pᴏɪɴᴛꜱ: 10 / Rᴇᴠɪᴇᴡ Sᴄᴏʀᴇ: _____

1. Does the applicant describe the overall method/s (i.e. strategies) that will be used to achieve the identified prevention system outcomes?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Are the strategies logically linked to the outcomes described in the previous section?
    Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant describe how the selected strategies are locally and culturally appropriate?
    Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant describe specific actions the coalition will take to successfully implement these strategies?
    Yes_____   No_____

5. Does the applicant include a time line and identify key responsibilities for implementation of the chosen strategies?   Yes_____   No_____

LOGIC MODEL:
[Note: The Logic Model is intended to summarize the planning process, and is not scored separately but rather incorporated into the subsection scores for the Prevention System Plan]

1. Does the Prevention System Logic Model clearly reflect the steps of the prevention system planning process described in the narrative?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Are the goals, objectives, outcomes, strategies, and activities clearly laid out and logically linked to one another?   Yes_____   No_____

EVALUATION:

[Note: Requested information on evaluation, monitoring and continuous improvement is to be scored on pages 17-18, in Section D: Implementation; Monitoring and Continuous Improvement]

PREVENTION SYSTEM ASSESSMENT AND PLAN

PREVENTION SYSTEM SCORES:
ASSESSMENT: _____
KEY DEV. AREAS: _____
GOALS & OBJECT.: _____
OUTCOMES: _____
STRATEGIES & ACT.: _____

MAJOR STRENGTHS:

MAJOR WEAKNESSES:

LOGIC MODEL COMMENTS (STRENGTHS, WEAKNESSES, OTHER):

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

C. COMMUNITY SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION PLAN: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 90

[Note 1: **Refer to the application narrative and the Community Prevention Plan Logic Model when answering the questions in this section.** Note 2: **Multi-community applications with different strategies for each community should include a separate description of each community's plan]**

ASSESSMENT: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 15 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant clearly define and describe the community to be served, including the geographic boundaries and demographics?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant identify the community's primary substance abuse (and substance abuse-related) problems? Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant describe who is affected by these problems, the extent to which they are affected, and areas of greatest need?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant supply baseline substance abuse rate, prevalence, and incidence data for the community to be served that supports the identification of the primary substance abuse and related problems? Yes_____   No_____

5. Does the applicant supply demographic and other social indicator data for the community to be served that supports the identification of the primary substance abuse and substance abuse-related problems, as well as their underlying causes?   Yes_____   No_____

6. Does the application include identification and analysis of the risk and protective factors within the community to be served, using a valid and verified means of collecting the risk and protective factors contained in the Hawkins and Catalano model? (See SICA RFA Appendix F for Risk and Protective Factors) (*NOTE: All applicants must be able to meet this criterion in order to be eligible for SICA funding. Participation in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factor Student Survey is not required, but applicants must document a statistically valid means by which it collected and analyzed risk and protective factor data—see SICA RFA Appendix D for examples of Social Indicator Measures)*   Yes_____   No_____

7. Does the applicant describe contextual conditions that contribute to the community's substance abuse problem (e.g., social norms of the community, the availability of alcohol and other drugs, the level of enforcement of existing laws and policies)?   Yes_____   No_____

8. Does the applicant identify potential human, in-kind, and financial resources that might be available to help develop and implement the community prevention plan?   Yes_____   No_____

9. Does the analysis of resources include an analysis and accounting of amounts and sources of existing funding streams and other resources that already support substance abuse prevention efforts within the community? Yes_____   No_____

Issue Identification: Total Possible Points: 10 / Review Score: _____

1. Does the applicant identify and summarize the most important substance abuse issues facing the community? Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant clearly describe the substance abuse problem its proposed initiative will address, and identify the behaviors, knowledge and/or attitudes that currently exist and need to be changed? Yes_____   No_____

Target Population: Total Possible Points: 5 / Review Score: _____

1. Does the applicant describe the target population(s), including cultural, socioeconomic, age, and educational characteristics?   Yes_____   No_____

2. If the target population is not youth ages 12-17, does the applicant document that intervention(s) with the target population(s) is directly correlated to—and necessary for—reducing substance abuse among youth ages 12 to 17? *(NOTE: applicants must be able to demonstrate that all interventions are directed at decreasing substance abuse among youth ages 12 to 17 in order to be eligible for SICA funding)* Yes_____   No_____   Not Applicable_____

3. Does the applicant describe the geographic area covered, and the approximate number of persons to be served?
    Yes_____ No_____

4. Does the applicant describe the risks for alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use faced by the target population?
    Yes_____ No_____

5. Does the applicant state whether the strategies chosen will focus on universal, selected, and/or indicated populations, and do the selected populations reflect the information provided in the community assessment narrative?   Yes_____ No_____

Goals and Objectives: Total Possible Points: 10 / Review Score: _____

1. Does the applicant specify long-term desired changes (i.e. goals) in substance abuse behaviors?
    Yes_____ No_____

2.   Is the number and scope of goals realistic and achievable?   Yes_____ No_____

3. Does the applicant identify the changes in underlying conditions (e.g., environmental conditions, risk or protective factors) that must take place in order to reach each desired goal?   Yes_____ No_____

4. Are the changes (i.e. objectives) related to knowledge, attitudes or behaviors?   Yes_____ No_____

5. Are there at least two objectives for every goal?   Yes_____ No_____

6. Are the goals and their associated objectives logically linked?   Yes_____   No_____

OUTCOMES: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 15 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant describe the specific degree of change (i.e. outcome) it seeks to achieve in knowledge, attitudes and/or behaviors?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Are the outcomes measurable and achievable within a finite period of time?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Is there at least one outcome for every objective, and are they logically linked?   Yes_____   No_____

STRATEGIES, ACTIVITIES AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN:
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 10 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

[Note: A Science Review of the strategies in each application will be conducted by an independent consultant with expertise in prevention science. The Science Reviews will be provided during the full Grant Review Panel session, and will cover: 1) strategy eligibility for science-based or "promising" status (including determinations on nominated programs), 2) strategy validity for the target population, and, 3) identification of any adaptation and fidelity issues.]

1. Does the applicant identify specific strategies (policies, practices, programs) that will be used to achieve the prevention outcomes described in the application narrative?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant describe how those strategies will result in the desired outcomes?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the plan include both environmental and individually-based strategies?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant demonstrate an understanding of the theory that underlies each selected strategy?

Yes_____ No_____

5. Does the applicant describe how the strategies chosen are culturally competent and linguistically and developmentally appropriate?   Yes_____ No_____

6. Does the applicant document that the chosen strategies are either new to the community or are significant expansions or enhancements of existing strategies?   Yes_____ No_____

7. Does the applicant identify the specific activities that will be implemented in order to successfully carry out chosen strategies?   Yes_____ No_____

8. Are the strategies and activities logically linked to the desired outcomes?   Yes_____ No_____

9. Does the applicant include short- and intermediate-term measures (i.e. outcome indicators) that will be used to document progress toward the desired outcomes?   Yes_____ No_____

10. If the project includes efforts with parents or supportive adults, does the applicant explain how those efforts directed at parents and adults will be linked to substance abuse prevention efforts involving youth?
Yes_____ No_____

11. Does the applicant provide an implementation plan, either in narrative or graphic (e.g. table, chart) form, for its prevention initiative?   Yes_____ No_____

12. Does the implementation plan describe the order of activities to be implemented, implementation benchmarks, and the measurable outputs of each activity?   Yes_____ No_____

13. Does the implementation plan identify the organization and/or staff responsible for carrying out each step of the plan?   Yes_____   No_____

LOCAL EVALUATION PLAN: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 10 / REVIEW SCORE: _____

[Note: Requested information on monitoring and continuous improvement is to be scored on page 18, in Section D: Implementation; Monitoring and Continuous Improvement]

1. Does the applicant describe its evaluation process for measuring and analyzing progress toward achieving the community substance abuse prevention outcomes identified in the application?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the evaluation process reflect both process evaluation (i.e. progress of implementation processes, as reflected in the implementation plan) and program/strategy level outcome evaluation (i.e. progress toward achieving identified community substance abuse prevention goals and objectives)?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant explain how short-term, intermediate and long-term outcome indicator data (e.g. risk and protective factors, social indicator, and archival data) will be collected and tracked?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant identify which of CSAP's core measures will be included as part of the evaluation?   Yes_____   No_____

[Note: Applicants must be able to meet the following 3 criteria to be eligible to receive SICA funding]

5. Does the applicant document that at least 10% of the overall requested funds have been allocated for evaluation?   Yes_____   No_____

6. Does the applicant provide assurance that it will use a validated method for obtaining data on youth access to substances and community risk and protective factors that is compatible with—and comparable against—the data collected for the applicant's baseline assessment?   Yes_____   No_____

7. Does the applicant provide assurance that it will comply with all state and national evaluation efforts and requirements?   Yes_____   No_____

SUSTAINABILITY PLAN: TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 15   REVIEW SCORE: _____

1. Does the applicant describe a process for maintaining and sustaining the ***outcomes*** (as opposed to sustaining the *strategies)* of its proposed initiative into the future?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Does the applicant describe how the local prevention system infrastructure is being developed/enhanced in order to support and maintain the prevention planning process and build the capacity of the community to continue engaging in substance abuse prevention planning and implementation?   Yes_____   No_____

3. Does the applicant outline a sound plan for securing the needed human, financial, and in-kind resources to ensure its continued ability to achieve its desired outcomes once the SICA funding cycle has ended?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant include a process for making modifications (e.g. changes and improvements) to its proposed prevention initiative (both prevention system and community substance abuse prevention plans) based on the findings from process and outcome evaluations?   Yes_____   No_____

5. Does the applicant describe how the coalition and community will adapt to 1) changes in substance abuse prevention systems at the State, regional and local levels, and 2) modifications in the community's planning process that might be necessary to achieve successful outcomes?   Yes_____   No_____

6. Does the applicant's sustainability plan include analyzing and leveraging community resources, partnerships and collaborative efforts?   Yes_____   No_____

7. Does the applicant's sustainability plan include continued prevention workforce development?
    Yes_____ No_____

Lᴏɢɪᴄ Mᴏᴅᴇʟ:
[Note: The Logic Model is intended to summarize the planning process, and is not scored separately but rather incorporated into the subsection scores for the Prevention System Plan]

1. Does the Logic Model clearly reflect the steps of the community substance abuse prevention planning process described in the narrative?   Yes_____   No_____

2. Are the goals, objectives, outcomes, strategies, and activities clearly laid out and logically linked to one another?   Yes_____   No_____

2004 NePiP SICA Grant Review Criteria

Community Prevention Plan:

Community Prevention Plan Scores:

ASSESSMENT:	_____	ISSUE IDENT.:	_____
TARGET POP.:	_____	GOALS & OBJECT.:	_____
OUTCOMES:	_____	STRAT., ACT. & IMP:	_____
EVALUATION PLAN:	_____	SUSTAIN. PLAN:	_____

MAJOR STRENGTHS:

MAJOR WEAKNESSES:

LOGIC MODEL COMMENTS (E.G. STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES):

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

D. Implementation Plan: Total Possible Points: 30

Management/Staffing Plan: Total Possible Points: 10 / Review Score: _____

1. Does the applicant clearly describe the qualifications, responsibilities and amount of time dedicated to the proposed initiative for all proposed project staff?   Yes_____ No_____

2. Does the composition of the project staff reflect the cultural (e.g. racial, ethnic, geographic and other) characteristics of the target population?   Yes_____ No_____

3. Does the staffing plan reflect an ability to meet any special language needs of the target population?
   Yes_____ No_____ N/A_____

4. Do the staff resumes reflect qualifications for the duties described in the staffing plan?
   Yes_____ No_____

Monitoring and Continuous Improvement: Total 10 points / Review Score: _____

[Note: Information requested in the evaluation portions of the narratives for 1) prevention system evaluation, monitoring and continuous improvement for prevention systems, and 2) community substance abuse prevention plan monitoring and continuous improvement is scored in this section]

Prevention System Plan:
1. Does the applicant describe its process for measuring and analyzing progress toward achieving the prevention system growth and development outcomes described in the prevention system plan?   Yes_____ No_____

2. Does the applicant describe how baseline data was collected for the prevention system, and how outcome data for key indicators will be collected?   Yes_____ No_____

3. Does the applicant describe how progress toward prevention system outcomes will be monitored and evaluated for *continuous improvement*?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the applicant describe how activities and progress toward prevention system outcomes will be monitored and evaluated in order to *determine whether objectives and outcomes are being achieved?*
Yes_____   No_____

5. Does the above description reflect both process evaluation (i.e. progress of implementation processes, as reflected in the implementation plan) and outcome evaluation (i.e. progress toward achieving identified prevention system goals and objectives in priority areas for prevention system growth and development)?
Yes_____   No_____

Community Substance Abuse Prevention Plan:

6. Does the applicant describe how progress toward community substance abuse outcomes will be monitored and evaluated for *continuous improvement*?   Yes_____   No_____

7. Does the applicant describe how activities and progress toward community substance abuse outcomes will be monitored and evaluated in order to *determine whether objectives and outcomes are being achieved*?
Yes_____   No_____

BUDGET AND BUDGET JUSTIFICATION: TOTAL 10 POINTS / REVIEW SCORE: _____

Budget:
1. Is the budget request clearly linked to the goals, objectives, outcomes, and services proposed for the budget period (June 1, 2004 to May 31, 2005)?   Yes_____   No_____

2. If the budget request does not fall within the funding parameters of $25,000 to $250,000, does the applicant provide compelling justification for being over or under those parameters?
Yes_____   No_____   N/A_____

Budget Justification:

3. Does the budget justification describe the applicant's ability to leverage community resources and private sector support (e.g. in-kind resources)?   Yes_____   No_____

4. Does the budget justification describe the applicant's ability to manage and administer grant funding?   Yes_____   No_____

5. Does the budget justification document that at least 50 percent of the requested funding will be allocated for science-based substance abuse prevention strategies, and the remainder allocated for "promising" substance abuse prevention strategies?   Yes_____   No_____

6. Does the budget justification describe plans for sustaining the initiative's outcomes beyond the grant period, and include evidence of community support and ownership (e.g. indicating in-kind matching.)   Yes_____   No_____

7. Does the applicant document that no funds are being used to supplant existing funds or maintain existing activities, but rather only to implement new strategies or substantial and significant expansions on existing strategies?   Yes_____   No_____

2004 NEPIP SICA GRANT REVIEW CRITERIA

IMPLEMENTATION:

IMPLEMENTATION SCORES
MANAGEMENT/STAFFING: _____
MONITORING & CONT. IMPROVEMENT.:   _____
BUDGET & BUDGET JUSTIFICATION: _____

MAJOR STRENGTHS:

MAJOR WEAKNESSES:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: